1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	BEFORE THE HONORABLE JAMES WARE, CHIEF JUDGE
4	)
5	Facebook, Inc., ) a Delaware corporation, )
6	)
7	Plaintiff, ) )
8	v. ) No. C 08-5780 JW )
9	Power Ventures, Inc., ) a Cayman Island Corporation, )
10	et al., )
11	Defendants. ) San Francisco, California ) Monday, August 6, 2012
12	) (13 pages)
13	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
14	
15	APPEARANCES:
16	
17	For Plaintiff: Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe, LLP 1000 Marsh Road
18	Menlo Park, California 94025
19	BY: MONTE M.F. COOPER
20	For Defendants: STEVEN SURAJ VACHANI
21	- an individual - Clifton House
22	75 Fort Street Box #1350 GT
23	George Town, NA 00000 Grand Cayman
24	
25	

1	Monday, August 6, 2012
2	<u>(9:15 a.m.)</u>
3	(In open court)
4	DEPUTY CLERK: All rise.
5	THE COURT: Good morning, all. Please be seated.
6	DEPUTY CLERK: Calling case C 08-5780, Facebook,
7	Incorporated, vs. Power Ventures, Incorporated, et al.
8	Counsel, please step forward and make your
9	appearance for the record.
10	
11	MR. COOPER: Good morning, your Honor. Monte
12	Cooper for Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliff on behalf of
13	plaintiff Facebook, Inc.
14	THE COURT: Good morning.
15	MR. VACHANI: Steven Vachani from Power Ventures.
16	THE COURT: Good morning. You're here in
17	response to an order to show cause that the Court issued.
18	Are you represented?
19	Come forward.
20	MR. VACHANI: Please. Thank you. The company is
21	not currently represented.
22	THE COURT: The company isn't. And so this would
23	be a time when the Court would issue a terminating order
24	with respect to the company, because it cannot proceed
25	without being represented. You are an individual

```
defendant in the case?
1
 2
                 MR. VACHANI: That's correct.
                 THE COURT: And you can appear on your own behalf
 3
 4
        or appear through counsel. At this point, you haven't
 5
        done either. What's your request, if any, from the Court?
                 MR. VACHANI: Well, on behalf of the company, who
 6
 7
        is the representative of the company?
                 THE COURT: I'm sorry?
 8
9
                 MR. VACHANI: Who's the representative of the
10
        company is the first question.
11
                 THE COURT: I don't know. There is no one who
12
        has appeared on behalf of the company, and therefore, as I
13
        indicated, the Court intends to strike the answer of the
14
        company and enter a judgment against it because it can't
        proceed without being represented by counsel. Did you not
15
16
        hear my second question?
17
                 MR. VACHANI: I did. I was just asking that
18
        first question. The company is obviously interested in
19
        obtaining counsel, but -- and has requested a further time
20
        in order to obtain counsel.
                 THE COURT: How can it do that?
21
22
                 MR. VACHANI: How can it do that?
23
                 THE COURT: Yes. It needs to have someone
24
        representing it to make the request.
25
                               The company right now is in
                 MR. VACHANI:
```

disarray, and we're trying to organize some of the stakeholders to figure out how to best address these changes, the fact that it's lost its counsel.

THE COURT: There are proceedings for setting aside a default that has very severe timing implications to it. But I don't intend to wait on an interminable basis for the company's representatives to decide what they would wish to do, especially as you indicate they're in disarray.

But my question, again -- you're speaking about the company. I'm trying to get you to clarify your position.

MR. VACHANI: Okay. I'm also interested -- at the moment, I do not have counsel so I'm representing myself. And I am interested in obtaining counsel so we can properly respond to this. At the moment, do not have counsel. So at the moment, I'm representing myself.

THE COURT: I see. All right. And can you give me any sense of timing that you would ask me to give you to obtain counsel?

MR. VACHANI: Ideally, as requested, would like 45 days. But a minimum of 30 days so we can properly find counsel and get proper advice -- so I can get proper advice in this case.

THE COURT: Why do you think 30 days would be

sufficient? 1 2 MR. VACHANI: I think this case is a fairly complicated case. It's been going on for guite awhile. 3 4 In meetings with people, counsel already, you know, we've 5 found that it's not a simple case to get up to speed on. 6 And also, there -- it has been difficult already to obtain 7 counsel. So we'd like that time to be able to meet with multiple potential counsel and get them, you know, 8 9 available to adequately, you know, select counsel. 10 THE COURT: I'm somewhat concerned that you're 11 still speaking in a third person. I'm talking about you. 12 MR. VACHANI: I'm talking about myself. 13 Obviously, I --14 THE COURT: My question was: Why do you think 30 15 days would be fruitful? When have you started to look for 16 counsel? 17 MR. VACHANI: I've been talking to counsel over the last 30 days. Obviously, I do not live in this 18 country. I live in South America. And also -- so it's 19 20 already been difficult. I was just able -- I have to 21 get -- arrived here yesterday. I plan to spend the next 22 30 days in the U.S. so I can give adequate time to this 23 issue. 24 And also, as I -- while I realize I am not

officially representing the company, on your behalf, I

25

believe that the company should also have adequate time to find counsel. I — at the moment, I am the most active voice of the company. And I would also like to have the opportunity on behalf of the company to help them find counsel and decide how we're going to represent going forward, whether I'm going to represent myself individually, and whether the interests of the company and myself are the same. These are not trivial issues, at least for us, and that's why I've tried to speak both on behalf of the company — because there is no other voice to speak for the company as of this point. I hope that we can clarify that and come back with more clarity on this case.

THE COURT: Before I call on your opponent, let me make a suggestion to you, and see what you think of it.

MR. VACHANI: Okay.

THE COURT: It not -- it was the order of the court that you represent yourself or obtain counsel. You haven't done that up to now, and you want to have more time.

MR. VACHANI: That's correct.

THE COURT: And I do intend to give you some time. However, I would condition it on your immediately appearing on your own behalf. In other words, you would file something indicating you represent yourself.

1 Therefore, you're in a position where then if the Court 2 would be disposed to grant you time to now seek counsel, but the other side would be able to proceed with the case 3 4 against you, in case you don't obtain counsel. 5 MR. VACHANI: Okay. THE COURT: And I would have you do that 6 7 immediately. And then proceed to see if you could obtain 8 counsel to substitute in as a representative for you. 9 Give me your reaction to that suggestion by the 10 Court. 11 MR. VACHANI: I would be okay to do that. 12 myself. And I would hope that the company would have the 13 same opportunity. 14 THE COURT: Well, I'm not inclined to grant that. 15 As I said, though, there are ways, once a lawyer comes in 16 to represent the company, a request could be made to set 17 aside the action that would be taken by the Court. 18 Let me hear from your opponent briefly. 19 MR. VACHANI: Yes, sir. 20 MR. COOPER: Thank you, your Honor. In light of 21 your initial statements, on behalf of Facebook, we would 22 request that at least default and default judgment be 23 entered against Power Ventures. The law is very clear: A 24 corporation and an entity cannot appear pro se in a

25

federal court.

In addition to that, I want to point out that the original motion to withdraw by Power's counsel was filed June 4th. The initial order granting that motion was granted on July -- I believe 5th. And therefore,

Mr. Vachani and Power have had more than 60 days already of notice that they would, in fact, need to retain counsel -- certainly in the case of Power. They are at the point of which the prejudice is now directed towards

Facebook, not towards Mr. Vachani and Power.

With respect to Mr. Vachani, and I understand you are already stating that you're inclined to grant him additional time to find counsel, I still would make the oral request — your notice was very clear that if he had not made a formal introduction to cure pro se that it would be in default in light of that.

But beyond that, I want to remind the Court: In light of the way that Mr. Vachani's prior firm withdrew, specifically noted that all matters in this case have been briefed with respect to damages back in March, the Court's already granted summary judgment of liability to Facebook. And the only issues that have remained outstanding according to the Court were the question of damages and Mr. Vachani's liability. In the briefing related to damages and liability, the issue of Mr. Vachani's control and direction of power and the activities that gave rise

to the CAN-SPAM and Computer Fraud Abuse Act issues in the case were largely uncontested. So the question really becomes one of just damages, which of course is what collapses into the default issue anyways.

I would therefore ask that default judgment in the amount of \$18,238,644, the amount that Facebook proved up in the damages portion of its briefing back in March, be entered immediately against Power Ventures, conditioned on 14 days to provide a form of judgment as well as a permanent injunction as permitted by both -- by all three statutes on which liability has been entered.

And that in that period, if the Court is inclined to permit Mr. Vachani to continue pro se, that judgment also be entered against him. If not default, at least upon the papers that were entered.

The only remaining issue that would potentially require further clarification is I believe Facebook requested punitive damages as well as the right to seek attorney's fees. And you might need to set a date -- which we would be cooperative -- on -- as to whether Facebook would even proceed to seek those damages if it is in fact awarded the 18 million plus that it has identified that it believes it's already entitled to against both defendants.

There is one housekeeping matter, and that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

relates -- actually, it was related to Mr. Vachani's former counsel before they withdrew. That is, Judge Spero entered an order in early March, after the motions for summary judgment had been fully briefed, and after I believe after the Court had ruled on the same week that the Court ruled, in which the Court ordered Mr. Vachani and Power to appear, at their cost, for court-ordered deposition to explain why over 70,000 files were produced to Facebook after the briefing of summary judgment and discovery had closed. Those e-mails include some of the materials that we cited in the brief to you on damages. And it remains an outstanding invoice. I would ask further to the condition of Mr. Vachani retaining counsel that the counsel be made apprised that one of the conditions is the payment of whatever fees the Court awards, and that we have till next Friday to prepare the affidavit that would be required. The Court -- Magistrate Judge Spero's order -- I can get you the document number -- has already awarded the costs and attorney's fees. THE COURT: Are you desirous of further discovery

on any of these issues?

MR. COOPER: No.

THE COURT: All right. Very well.

Mr. Vachani?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I am inclined to proceed, as I indicated. But one of the benefits of corporate protection is to treat the individual separately, and that's why I'm trying to treat you differently from the corporation. It could be that because of your strong involvement with the corporation that some of this liability might be imposed against you personally. But I don't want to do that without your having the opportunity to at least see whether or not you can obtain counsel. Are you a U.S. citizen or are you a citizen of Brazil? MR. VACHANI: I'm a Canadian citizen and also have U.S. citizenship, but I have not lived in the U.S. for quite awhile. THE COURT: I see. How long will you be in the United States on this current visit? MR. VACHANI: I'll be here probably two to three weeks. THE COURT: Two to three weeks. Do you have a return flight already scheduled? MR. VACHANI: I've left it open right now. necessary, you know, I'm able to return, but I'm going to be at least 30 days, at about the most, to September, I quess 5th, if necessary.

THE COURT: Well, my order, which will be in

1 writing -- and do we have a way of corresponding with you 2 while you're in the United States? Do you have a 3 current --4 MR. VACHANI: I've filed and received 5 authorization for electronic communication. 6 THE COURT: Very good. And that has an address 7 to which the Court can rely to give you notices? MR. VACHANI: Yes, I've authorized and agreed for 8 9 everything to be done electronically in order to 10 facilitate and make it easier for the Court. 11 THE COURT: Let me figure out a date. And my 12 order will require that you immediately file a document 13 appearing pro se. Failure to do that, I might take the 14 extraordinary step of entering judgment against you. And 15 I don't want you to have that fate without having an 16 opportunity to retain counsel. 17 And then I'll give you a period of time, perhaps 18 three weeks, to actually have someone substitute and 19 appear. Now, you don't have to. You can continue to 20 represent yourself with respect to this. 21 And I'll also address the motions that counsel 22 has raised that are before the Court that you will have to 23

has raised that are before the Court that you will have to respond to. And I'll try to do those on an expedited basis to take advantage of the fact that you're here in the country and it's easier to deal with you and for you

24

25

1	to deal with these matters while you're in the country.
2	MR. VACHANI: I'll be back in the U.S. on the
3	September 15th. From September 15th until October 15th
4	also.
5	THE COURT: Very well. That's nice to know.
6	Thank you very much, sir.
7	MR. VACHANI: Thank you.
8	THE COURT: The matter's submitted.
9	(Adjourned)
10	000
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
19	I, Connie Kuhl, Official Reporter for the United
20	States Court, Northern District of California, hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings were reported by me,
21	a certified shorthand reporter, and were thereafter transcribed under my direction into written form.
22	
23	Connie Kuhl
24	Connie Kuhl, RMR, CRR Monday, August 27, 2012
25	Hollady, Magast 21, 2012